



Islamic Spirituality and Coexistence In Diverse Learning Contexts: Educational Insights From Islamic Philosophy

Donna Aprilia¹, Akhmad Affandi²

^{1,2} UIN Siber Syekh Nur Jati, Cirebon, Indonesia

Article Info

Article History

Submitted 02-05-2026

Revised 05-06-2026

Accepted 07-07-2026

Published 14-08-2026

Keywords:

Islamic spirituality,
coexistence,
multicultural
education, Islamic
philosophy

Correspondence:

donnaaprilia11@gmail.com

Abstract

This article explores the contribution of Islamic spirituality in fostering peaceful coexistence within diverse learning contexts. Drawing from Islamic philosophy of education, this study analyzes theological, ethical, and epistemological values that strengthen inclusivity and harmony in multicultural classrooms. Employing a qualitative approach through literature review and philosophical interpretation, the findings reveal that Islamic spirituality—rooted in tawhid (divine unity), adab (ethical conduct), and rahmah (compassion)—can foster a tolerant and transformative collective consciousness. The article recommends integrating Islamic spiritual values into educational curricula and pedagogical practices as a strategic step toward enhancing social cohesion through education.

Artikel ini mengeksplorasi kontribusi spiritualitas Islam dalam membangun koeksistensi damai dalam konteks pembelajaran yang beragam. Dengan mengacu pada filsafat pendidikan Islam, penelitian ini menganalisis nilai-nilai teologis, etis, dan epistemologis yang dapat memperkuat inklusivitas dan harmoni di ruang kelas multikultural. Menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif berbasis studi literatur dan interpretasi filosofis, temuan menunjukkan bahwa spiritualitas Islam yang berbasis tauhid, adab, dan rahmah berpotensi membentuk kesadaran kolektif yang toleran dan transformatif. Artikel ini merekomendasikan pengintegrasian nilai-nilai spiritual Islam ke dalam kurikulum dan praktik pedagogis sebagai langkah strategis dalam memperkuat kohesi sosial melalui pendidikan.

A. INTRODUCTION

Educational institutions are challenged to promote coexistence across cultural, religious, and linguistic differences in an increasingly globalized and diverse world (Nieto & Bode, 2018). Classrooms have become microcosms of plural societies, where students bring varied worldviews and spiritual backgrounds (Aronson et al., 2020). Tensions, misunderstandings, and cultural barriers may arise during this diversity, requiring educators to foster environments of mutual respect and understanding (Sleeter, 2018).

Multicultural education studies have emphasized the role of intercultural competence, democratic values, and inclusive pedagogies (Banks, 2016; Gay, 2018). However, limited research has examined the potential of Islamic spirituality as a transformative framework for nurturing coexistence (Sahin, 2018). With its rich tradition of ethics and metaphysical orientation, Islamic educational philosophy offers profound resources for cultivating peace and unity in pluralistic settings (Al-Attas, 1991; Nasr, 2006).

The urgency of this research lies in the increasing need to counter the exclusivist narratives and identity politics that polarize learners (Jackson, 2014; Zembylas, 2020). Educational discourses have often neglected the spiritual and ethical dimensions of pedagogy in many parts of the world, including Muslim-majority contexts (Halstead, 2004; Niyozov & Memon, 2011). However, these dimensions are critical in shaping intellectually competent and morally and spiritually grounded learners (Lickona, 2009).

This study explores how Islamic spirituality can be understood through the philosophical lenses of *tawhid*, *adab*, and *rahmah* to contribute to peaceful coexistence in diverse learning contexts (Hasan, 2017; Waghid, 2011). This study seeks to map the philosophical foundations, pedagogical implications, and ethical relevance of these values to contemporary educational challenges.

The expected findings are centered on how Islamic spiritual values can transcend doctrinal rigidity and instead serve as a universal ethical compass that promotes respect, dialogue, and empathy (Kamali, 2015). In this framework, the notion of coexistence is not merely toleration but a spiritually inspired engagement with difference, grounded in humility and compassion (Eck, 2001; Tarabili, 2021).

By synthesizing classical Islamic philosophical thought and modern educational insights, this study contributes to a broader discourse on spirituality in education (Palmer, 2017). It advocates for a reorientation of educational objectives toward character formation, ethical reasoning, and interfaith dialogue dimensions that are long embedded within the Islamic tradition but are often underutilized in today's formal education (Rabi'u & Saeed, 2020).

B. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This study employs a method of qualitative library research grounded in philosophical inquiry (George, 2008; Bowen, 2009). Sources include classical texts of

Islamic philosophy and contemporary literature on education and spirituality (Al-Attas, 1991; Nasr, 2006). The analytical approach is interpretive-hermeneutical, aiming to understand and reconstruct meanings embedded in spiritual and ethical concepts (Gadamer, 2004; Palmer, 1969). The study follows a thematic analysis approach by coding themes such as *tawhid*, *adab*, and *rahmah* in relation to pedagogical frameworks (Braun & Clarke, 2006; Vaismoradi et al., 2013). It also engages critically with educational philosophy literature to bridge Islamic insights with current theories of multiculturalism and coexistence (Banks, 2016; Waghid, 2011).

C. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

1. The Philosophical Dimensions of Islamic Spirituality in Education

The foundational principle of *tawhid*—the oneness of God—expands beyond theological assertion; it establishes an ontological vision that affirms all existence's interconnectedness (Al-Attas, 1995; Chittick, 2007). *Tawhid* encourages a unified view of knowledge in educational discourse, dissolving false binaries between religious and secular domains (Nasr, 1993; Sahin, 2018). This creates the basis for a pedagogy of unity, where every learner is seen as a bearer of divine trust (Halstead, 2004). From this metaphysical core flows the ethical ideal of *adab*, emphasizing proper conduct, humility, and respect as cornerstones of knowledge acquisition (Al-Attas, 1991). *Adab* not only governs the relationship between students and teachers but also functions as a moral grammar that combines intellectual curiosity with spiritual awareness (Cook, 2010). It curbs arrogance and nurtures a reflective, dialogic engagement with difference (Waghid, 2011).

The third dimension, *rahmah* (compassion), encapsulates divine mercy as a lived ethical commitment (Kamali, 2015). In practice, *rahmah* translates into compassionate pedagogy—an approach that prioritizes empathy, emotional intelligence, and the healing power of respectful dialog (Tarabili, 2021). This approach aligns with modern pedagogies that emphasize emotional well-being and relational trust (Noddings, 2013; Palmer, 2017). These three concepts form a triadic framework. While *tawhid* offers ontological unity, *adab* shapes ethical interaction, and *rahmah* fuels transformative action (Hasan, 2017). Their synthesis opens a holistic space for spiritual formation, one that is deeply rooted in tradition yet resonant with contemporary plural realities (Niyozov & Memon, 2011).

Furthermore, this framework challenges the reductionist education trends that prioritize measurable outcomes over moral growth (Biesta, 2010). Islamic philosophy reasserts the purpose of education as *tazkiyah* (purification) and *tarbiyah* (holistic nurturing) by realigning pedagogy with spiritual vision (Al-Ghazali, 2005; Ashraf, 1985). However, actualizing this vision is not without challenges. Misinterpretations of religious texts, rigid institutional cultures, and politicization of spirituality can dilute its transformative potential (Abou El Fadl, 2005; Jackson, 2014). Thus, engagement with Islamic spirituality must be grounded in critical hermeneutics and openness to diverse interpretations (Arkoun, 2002). In sum, the philosophical underpinnings of Islamic spirituality provide not only a moral compass but also a structural foundation for inclusive, ethically-rich educational practices (Sleeter, 2018).

2. Educational Practices and Their Contemporary Application

Translating spiritual philosophy into classroom reality requires intentional design. Curricula should embed *tawhid* principles by highlighting the unity of knowledge across disciplines and fostering interdisciplinary thinking that integrates ethics and purpose (Cook, 2010; Sahin, 2018). This integration bridges the gap between scientific inquiry and spiritual reflection (Sardar, 1989). A curriculum infused with *tawhid* helps students to perceive learning as a sacred pursuit (Al-Ghazali, 2005). It aligns intellectual development with moral responsibility. In doing so, learners are guided not only to achieve academic success but also to develop awareness of their role in a divinely interconnected world (Halstead, 2004). This study also addresses the compartmentalization of knowledge that often dominates modern education. The unification of diverse disciplines under one ethical vision nurtures holistic human beings (Hasan, 2017). Thus, *tawhid*-based curriculum design fosters an inclusive and spiritually enriched academic culture (Nasr, 2006).

In daily classroom interaction, teachers trained in *Adab* can model respectful behavior, encourage ethical discourse, and cultivate humility among learners (Waghid, 2011). *Adab* practice transcends etiquette and enters the realm of intentional moral education (Lickona, 2009). Teachers who embody *adab* become living examples of wisdom and integrity (Palmer, 2017). Their presence reinforces the idea that education is a moral journey and not merely the transfer of information. Such classrooms promote mutual recognition, dismantling prejudice and academic arrogance (Sleeter, 2018). Students learn to value differences and engage in constructive dialogue. *Adab* thus becomes a transformative principle in both personal

development and social cohesion (Niyozov & Memon, 2011). Moreover, it fosters a respectful learning environment where intellectual humility is celebrated (Al-Attas, 1991).

Pedagogies rooted in *rahmah* can reshape the school's emotional climate (Tarabili, 2021). Compassionate teaching emphasizes listening, mutual support, and resilience—particularly in post-conflict, trauma-affected, or culturally fragmented environments (Zembylas, 2020). Educators practicing *rahmah* attend to students' emotional and psychological needs (Noddings, 2013). They cultivate safe spaces where vulnerability and growth co-exist. Teachers can reduce alienation and enhance trust by prioritizing empathy. *Rahmah* encourages restorative practices that replace punishment with healing (Evans & Vaandering, 2016). This emotional climate enables learners to connect with others beyond differences at the surface level. It also builds the capacity for long-term compassion-centered leadership. Consequently, *rahmah* becomes a pedagogical force that humanizes education and elevates its spiritual dimensions (Palmer, 2003).

Institutional cultures must also embody these values. A spiritually attuned school culture prioritizes collective well-being, spiritual reflection, and character development alongside academic excellence (Sergiovanni, 1992). Such institutions go beyond policies to nurture ethos (Bryk & Schneider, 2002). Leadership must embody ethical vision and prophetic humility (Kouzes & Posner, 2012). Administrators can create rituals and reflective spaces in education that honor spirituality. Staff collaboration must be grounded in mutual trust and shared values. A values-based culture is also resilient to the external pressures of standardization and commodification (Biesta, 2010). When spiritual integrity becomes an institutional identity, schools become transformation agents. This transforms not only the outcomes but also the entire educational experience into a communal journey of meaning (Al-Attas, 1991).

Technology and modern media offer opportunities to disseminate spiritual-ethical content (Veletsianos, 2016). Through storytelling, reflective tasks, and culturally relevant resources that promote empathy and global citizenship, Islamic values can be integrated into digital learning platforms (Selwyn, 2016; UNESCO, 2015). The digital realm becomes a space for moral imagination and dialogue. With mindful design, educational technology can be a vehicle for ethical engagement rather than distraction (Postman, 1993). The platforms may include modules on spiritual literacy

and intercultural understanding (Jackson, 2014). Visual media can showcase diverse expressions of Islamic ethics in a global context. Moreover, digital storytelling allows learners to share narratives of coexistence and compassion (Lambert, 2013). This democratizes spiritual knowledge while contextualizing it for 21st-century learners. Hence, technology can support rather than supplant ethical formation (Nasr, 2006).

Such spiritually integrated models can foster interreligious understanding and peacebuilding internationally (Abu-Nimer, 2003). Schools in multicultural settings can adopt Islamic principles of coexistence without imposing religious orthodoxy through shared ethics of care, dignity, and common humanity (Kamali, 2015; Eck, 2001). Such models create bridges rather than boundaries between traditions. Educators can draw upon universal values within Islamic thought to resonate with diverse audiences. These include justice, mercy, trust, and cooperation (Rabi'u & Saeed, 2020). Interfaith learning circles and ethical symposiums offer inclusive spaces for dialogical learning (Swidler, 2014). Thus, Islamic spirituality becomes a shared asset and not an exclusive identity marker. Educational diplomacy and spiritual pluralism can coexist through it. This opens new possibilities for global education solidarity (Banks, 2016).

Ultimately, the integration of Islamic spirituality into education is not about religious indoctrination but about nurturing learners' full humanity (Freire, 2000). In an increasingly fragmented world, it empowers students to become ethically conscious, socially responsible, and spiritually resilient citizens (Nieto & Bode, 2018). This requires a reimagining of pedagogy as a moral formation. The holistic learner is not only skilled but also rooted in purpose and compassion (Miller, 2019). Islamic spirituality helps learners transcend superficial success and align with deeper values. Schools become spaces of ethical awakening, not just credential accumulation. Such education equips youth with wisdom and dignity to engage in plural societies. Islamic spirituality contributes to a hopeful and harmonized future through the power of transformative education (Palmer, 2003).

D. CONCLUSION

This study concludes that Islamic spirituality grounded in the tawhid (divine unity), adab (ethical conduct), and rahmah (compassion) values offers a transformative framework for education in multicultural and morally complex settings. These values can be integrated meaningfully into the curriculum, pedagogy, and institutional culture to foster inclusive learning spaces that foster ethical

awareness, social responsibility, and spiritual depth. Rather than serving as a doctrinal imposition, Islamic spirituality presents an ethical paradigm that elevates coexistence, dignity, and dialogue as core elements of educational practice. The article highlights that spiritually rooted education does not retreat into exclusivism but advances a universal vision of peace and human flourishing. In a world fragmented by polarization and moral disengagement, it reimagines schools as sanctuaries of reflection, empathy, and ethical formation. Future research is encouraged to explore the practical models and empirical implementations of this framework across various cultural and educational contexts.

REFERENCES

- Abou El Fadl, K. (2005). *The great theft: wresting Islam from the extremists*. San Francisco: Harper.
- Abu-Nimer, M. (2003). *Nonviolence and peace building in Islam: Theory and practice*. University Press of Florida.
- Al-Attas, S. M. N. (1995). *Prolegomena to the metaphysics of Islam*. International Institute of Islamic Thought and Civilization.
- Al-Ghazali, A. H. (2005). *Ihya' 'Blum al-Din* [The revival of the religious sciences]. Dar al-Kutub al-'Ilmiyyah.
- Arkoun, M. (2002). *The unthought in contemporary Islamic thought*. Tauris, I. B.
- Aronson, B., Stephens, J., & Walker, A. (2020). *Diversity and inclusions in the modern classroom: A critical approach*. New York: Routledge.
- Ashraf, S. A. (1985). *New Horizons in Muslim Education*. Hodder & Stoughton.
- Biesta, G. J. (2010). *Good education in an age of measurement: Ethics, politics, democracy*. New York: Paradigm Publishers.
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Document analysis as a qualitative research method. *Qualitative Research Journal*, 9(2), 27–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qrj.2009.09.010>.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qrp.2006.01.007>
- Bryk, A. S., & Schneider, B. (2002). *Trust in schools: A core resource for improvement*.
- Chittick, W. C. (2007). *Science of the cosmos, science of the soul: the pertinence of Islamic cosmology in the modern world*. New York: Oneworld Publications.
- Cook, B. J. (2010). *Islamic education and indoctrination: The case in Indonesia*. Routledge.
- Eck, D. L. (2001). *A new religious America: How a "Christian country" has become the world's most religiously diverse nation*. San Francisco: Harper.
- Evans, K., & Vaandering, D. (2016). *The little book of restorative justice in education: Fostering responsibility, healing, and hope in schools*. New York: Good Books.
- Freire, P. (2000). *Pedagogy of the oppressed* (30th anniversary ed.). Continuum.
- Gadamer, H. G. (2004). *Truth and method* (2nd rev. ed., J. Weinsheimer & D. G. Marshall, Trans.). Continuum.

- George, M. W. (2008). *The Elements of Library Research: What Every Student Needs to Know*. Princeton University Press.
- Halstead, J. M. (2004). An Islamic concept of education. *Comparative Education*, 40(4), 517-529.
- Hasan, A. (2017). *Tawhid and pedagogical ethics in Islamic educational thought*.
- Jackson, R. (2014). *Signposts: Policy and practice for teaching about religions and non-religious worldviews in intercultural education*. London: Council of Europe Publishing.
- Kamali, M. H. (2015). *The middle path of moderation in Islam: The Quranic principle of Wasatiyyah*. Oxford University Press.
- Kouzes, J. M., & Posner, B. Z. (2012). *The leadership challenge: How to make extraordinary things happen in organizations* (5th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Lambert, J. (2013). *Digital storytelling: Capturing lives, creating community* (4th ed.). Routledge.
- Lickona, T. (2009). *Educating for character: How our schools can teach respect and responsibility*. New York: Bantam.
- Miller, J. P. (2019). *The holistic curriculum* (3rd ed.). University of Toronto Press.
- Nasr, S. H. (1993). *The need for a sacred science*. State University of New York Press.
- Nieto, S., & Bode, P. (2018). *Affirming diversity: The sociopolitical context of multicultural education* (7th ed.). Pearson.
- Niyozov, S., & Memon, N. (2011). Islamic education and Islamization: Evolution of themes, continuities and new directions. *Journal of Muslim Minority Affairs*, 31(1), 5-30.
- Noddings, N. (2013). *Caring: A relational approach to ethics and moral education* (2nd ed.). University of California Press.
- Palmer, P. J. (2017). *The courage to teach: Exploring the inner landscape of a teacher's life*. 20th anniversary ed., Jossey-Bass.
- Palmer, R. E. (1969). *Hermeneutics: Interpretation theory in Schleiermacher, Dilthey, Heidegger, and Gadamer*. Chicago: Northwestern University Press.
- Postman, N. (1993). *Technopoly: The surrender of culture to technology*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Rabi'u, M., & Saeed, A. (2020). Reclaiming character formation in contemporary Islamic education. *Journal of Education and Christian Belief*, 24(2), 112-128.

- Sahin, A. (2018). Critical Muslim higher education and the reform of Islamic studies. *Muslim Education Quarterly*, 35(1), 45-68.
- Sardar, Z. (1989). *Explorations in Islamic science*. Mansell.
- Selwyn, N. (2016). *Education and technology: Key issues and debates* (2nd ed.). Bloomsbury Academic.
- Sergiovanni, T. J. (1992). *Moral leadership: Getting to the heart of school improvement*. New York: Jossey-Bass.
- Sleeter, C. E. (2018). *Multicultural education as social activism*. State University of New York Press.
- Swidler, L. (2014). *Dialogue for interreligious understanding: Strategies for the transformation of culture-shaping institutions*. London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Tarabili, F. (2021). Ethics of difference and bahamas in Islamic pedagogical practice. *International Journal of Islamic Thought*, 19(1), 88-101.
- UNESCO. (2015). *Global citizenship education: Topics and learning objectives* UNESCO Publishing.
- Vaismoradi, M., Turunen, H., & Bondas, T. (2013). Content analysis and thematic analysis: Implications for conducting a qualitative descriptive study. *Nursing & Health Sciences*, 15(3), 398-405. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nurshs.2013.09.010>.
- Veletsianos, G. (2016). *Emerging technologies in distance education*. Athabasca University Press.
- Waghid, Y. (2011). *Concept of Islamic education: A framework for inquiry*. London: Peter Lang.
- Zembylas, M. (2020). Pedagogies of affective empathy and conviviality in divided societies. *Pedagogy, Culture & Society*, 28(4), 541-558